by Fuyi Zhang, Anna Kulesza, Shikha Rani, Bruno Bernet, and Andrea Vasella*

Laboratory of Organic Chemistry, Department of Chemistry and Applied Biosciences, ETH Zurich, Wolfgang Pauli-Strasse 10, CH-8093 Zurich (e-mail: vasella@org.chem.ethz.ch)

6-(Diazomethyl)-1,3-bis(methoxymethyl)uracil (5) was prepared from the known aldehyde 3 by hydrazone formation and oxidation. Thermolysis of 5 and deprotection gave the pyrazolo^{[4,3-1}] d]pyrimidine-5,7-diones 7a and 7b. $Rh_2(OAc)_4$ catalyzed the transformation of 5 into to a 2:1 (Z)/ (E) mixture of 1,2-diuracilylethenes $9(67\%)$. Heating (Z)-9 in 12N HCl at 95° led to electrocyclisation, oxidation, and deprotection to afford 73% of the pyrimido[5,4-f]quinazolinetetraone 12. The $Rh_2(OAc)_4$ -catalyzed reaction of 5 with 3,4-dihydro-2H-pyran and 2,3-dihydrofuran gave endolexomixtures of the 2-oxabicyclo[4.1.0]heptane 13 (78%) and the 2-oxabicyclo[3.1.0]hexane 15 (86%), Their treatment with AlCl₃ or Me₂AlCl promoted a vinylcyclopropane – cyclopentene rearrangement, leading to the pyrano- and furanocyclopenta[1,2-d]pyrimidinediones **14** (88%) and **16** (51%), respectively. Similarly, the addition product of 5 to 2-methoxypropene was transformed into the 5-methylcyclopentapyrimidinedione 18 (55%). The Rh₂(OAc)₄-catalyzed reaction of 5 with thiophene gave the exoconfigured 2-thiabicyclo[3.1.0]hexane 19 (69%). The analoguous reaction with furan led to 8 oxabicyclo[3.2.1]oct-2-ene 20 (73%), and the reaction with (E) -2-styrylfuran yielded a diastereoisomeric mixture of hepta-1,4,6-trien-3-ones 21 (75%) that was transformed into the $(1E,4E,6E)$ -configured hepta-1,4,6-trien-3-one 21 (60%) at ambient temperature.

Introduction. – 6-Formyluridines are intermediates in the synthesis of novel oligoribonucleotide analogues ('ONIBs'; see $[1][2]$ and refs. cit. there). Diazo compounds derived from 6-formyluracils, however, have (to the best of our knowledge) not been reported, perhaps surprisingly, considering the large number of pyrimidine syntheses and the broad interest in 5,6-disubstituted and 6-monosubstituted pyrimidine derivatives¹) [4-6], and in uridine, uracil, and their derivatives and analogues $[7-10]$. The closest analogues of the envisioned vinylogous α -diazocarbonyl compounds derived from 6-formyluracils are 6-substituted sulfoxonium ylides. Norris and Shechter synthesized such ylides by treating 6-chloro-1,3-dimethyluracil with dimethylsulfoxonium methylide [11] and used them for the synthesis of 6-cyclopropyluracils, 5,6 methyleneuracils, and indirectly of uracils fused to seven-membered rings. Considering the versatility of diazo compounds and particularly of stable α -diazocarbonyl compounds [12 – 15], and more specifically their use for the synthesis of cyclopropanes [16], for X–H insertions $(X = C, N, O, \text{or } S)$ [17] [18], and as 1,3-dipoles [19], we considered it worthwhile to synthesize at least one such diazo compound, characterize

G 2008 Verlag Helvetica Chimica Acta AG, ZIrich

¹⁾ Many 6-substituted uracils were synthesized from 6-chloro-1,3-dimethyluracil via nucleophilic displacement [3].

it, and explore some of its transformations into known and new heterocyclic systems. Our choice fell on the N-protected 6-(diazomethyl)uracil 5 (Scheme 1).

Results and Discussion. – The protected 6-(diazomethyl)uracil 5 was prepared in two steps from the known carbaldehyde 3 [20] (Scheme 1). This aldehyde was first obtained in 66% yield by formylating 1 [21], but the $SeO₂$ oxidation of 2 [20] proved more convenient for the preparation of larger quantities of 3, yielding 75% of 3 from 2 on a scale of 40 g. The $(E)/(Z)$ ratio of the hydrazone 4, but not the yield $(82-84\%)$ depends strongly upon the reaction conditions. A 3:1 $(E)/(Z)$ mixture of 4 was obtained by using $NH₂NH₂$ · AcOH and $K₂CO₃$ in dry EtOH, and a 9:1 mixture by using NH₂NH₂ · H₂O and NH₂NH₂ · AcOH in 96% EtOH. Pure (E)-4 was obtained by crystallisation of $(E)/(Z)$ -49:1 from MeOH. The oxidation of $(E)/(Z)$ -4 with freshly prepared $MnO₂$ in DMSO led to impure 5 that decomposed in contact with silica gel. The analogous oxidation in $CH_2Cl_2/DMSO$ 5:1, however, proceeded cleanly, yielding 90% (on a 4-g scale) of the 6-(diazomethyl)uracil 5 as a yellow solid that was pure according to ¹H- and ¹³C-NMR spectroscopy, and was stored at room temperature for several days and at 5° for several weeks without any decomposition.

a) Lithium diisopropylamide (LDA), DMF, hexane/THF, -78° ; 66%. b) SeO₂, 1,4-dioxane/AcOH 11 : 1, reflux; 75%. c) NH₂NH₂ · H₂O, NH₂NH₂ · AcOH, 96% EtOH, r.t.; 84%. d) MnO₂, CH₂Cl₂/DMSO 14:3, r.t.; 90%.

The assignment of the configuration of 4 is based on the characteristic downfield shift for the ¹H-NMR CH=N and NH₂ signals of the (E) -isomer [22] in CDCl₃ or in (D_6) DMSO ($\Delta\delta$ = 0.4 – 0.9 ppm). Formation of the 6-(diazomethyl)uracil 5 is evidenced by the IR band at 2091 cm⁻¹ and by the diagnostic high-field shift of the ¹H- and ¹³C-NMR signals of the diazomethyl moiety (5.33 and 44.86 ppm, resp.; *cf.* [23]).

Thermolysis of the 6-(diazomethyl)uracil 5 in boiling toluene gave an equilibrating mixture 6a/6b of annulated 1H- and 2H-pyrazoles (Scheme 2; cf. [24]). Sublimating crude $6a/6b$ at 180° and 0.08 Torr resulted in 52% of $6a/6b$ that was demethoxymethylated to **7a/7b** by treatment with BCl₃ in CH₂Cl₂ at -78° to room temperature [21]. Prolonged treatment (10 h at room temperature) with $BCI₃$ led to partial decomposition before deprotection was complete, while $BBr₃$ [25] removed both methoxymethyl (MOM) groups. Crystallization of the product from MeOH yielded 55% of 7a/7b [26] that dissolved readily in DMSO to give a solution of a 1 : 1 mixture, sharp NH signals denoting that, under these conditions, the tautomers equilibrate slowly, if at all. We assume that equilibration is considerably impeded by the intermolecular H-bonds to the solvent that are evidenced by a strong downfield shift of the NH signals, resonating at 13.95 and 13.75 ppm. The mixture 6a/6b was further characterized by methylation with NaH and MeI in DMSO, leading to a mixture of 8a and 8b that were separated by flash chromatography. Crystallization from 95% EtOH gave 54% of 8a and 24% of 8b.

a) Toluene, 110° ; 52% of 6a/6b. b) BBr₃, CH₂Cl₂, -78° to r.t.; 55% of 7a/7b 1:1; 52% of (Z)-10; 58% of (E) -10. c) NaH, MeI, DMSO; 54% of 8a and 24% of 8b. d) Rh₂(OAc)₄, CH₂Cl₂, r.t.; 45% of (Z)-9, 22% of (E)-9. e) I₂, CHCl₃, visible light; 32% of (E)-9 and 10% of 11, besides 48% of (Z)-9. f) 12N HCl, 95°; 73% of 12.

The structure assignment to the pyrimidine diones 8a and 8b is based on the observation that imino C-atoms (as $C(7a)$ in 8b) resonate ca. 10 ppm downfield relative to corresponding enamino C-atoms [27]. Thus, $C(3)$ of 8a resonates downfield relative to $C(3)$ of 8b (123.81 vs. 116.22 ppm), and $C(7a)$ of 8b downfield to $C(7a)$ of 8a (130.68 vs. 119.70 ppm), whereas $C(3a)$ of 8a and 8b resonate at a similar field (129.65) vs. 127.42 ppm). Accordingly, we assigned the 13 C-NMR signals of the (very) slowly equilibrating 1:1 mixture 7a/7b in (D_6) DMSO as indicated in the *Exper. Part*. A rapidly equilibrating mixture $6a/6b$ in CDCl₃ is evidenced by a single set of broad ¹³C-NMR signals. Particularly broad are the signals of $C(3)$ at 119.01 and of $C(7a)$ at 127.9 ppm. In the ¹H-NMR spectrum of $7a/7b$, the more strongly shielded s at 7.42 ppm is assigned to $H-C(3)$ of **7a** and that at 7.62 ppm to $H-C(3)$ of **7b**, as suggested by a comparison with literature data $[28]$. The NH signals of **7a** and **7b** could not be assigned.

The Rh^{II}-promoted transformation of diazo compounds generates carbenoids that dimerize to alkenes in the absence of carbenophiles²). Treatment of 5 with 2 mol-% of $Rh_2(OAc)_4$ in CH₂Cl₂ [30–33] afforded a 3:1 mixture of (Z) -9 and (E) -9. Crystallisation from AcOEt/hexane 1:1 gave 22% of (Z) -9, and flash chromatography of the mother liquor afforded an additional 23% of (Z) -9 and 22% of (E) -9. Both (Z) -9 and (E) -9 were deprotected with BBr₃ in CH₂Cl₂ to the high-melting (Z)-10 (52%) and (E)-10 (58%; both decomposing at $>260^{\circ}$). In contrast to (Z)-10, (E)-10 proved particularly sensitive to air, and decomposed within a few hours at ambient temperature.

The assignment of the configurations of (Z) -9 and (E) -9 is based on the hypsochromic and weakly hypochromic UV absorption of (Z) -9 (267 (log ε 3.99) vs. 319 nm (log ε 4.08) for (E)-9). Irradiation with visible light of a solution of (Z)-9 and catalytic amounts of I₂ in CHCl₃ for 15 days at ambient temperature led to 32% of (E) -9 and 10% of the pyrimidoquinazolinetetraone 11 (besides 48% of unreacted (Z) -9). The yield of the cyclisation/oxidation³) product 11 dropped to less than 5% when a boiling solution of (Z) -9 was irradiated for 3 days. However, heating a solution of (Z) -9 in 12N HCl to 95° gave exclusively the deprotected cyclisation/oxidation product 12 in 73% yield. Force-field calculations suggest that 11 and 12 adopt a helical conformation; the 1,10-carbonyl groups are moved out of the plane of the benzene ring (calculated $C(10a) - C(10b) - C(1) - O$ torsion angle 28.7°). The helical conformation is corroborated by the crystal structure of a parent triphenylene-1,4,5,8,9,12-hexaone (torsion angle 32.7° [35]) and of angucyclinones (torsion angles $14-33^{\circ}$ [36]), and in keeping with the hypsochromic shift of the UV bands of $11(246 \text{ nm})$ and $12(241 \text{ nm})$ as compared to (Z) -9 (267 nm). The C_2 symmetry of 9-12 is evidenced by the single set of signals in the NMR spectra.

The addition of carbenoids to 3,4-dihydro-2H-pyran $[29][30][37][38]$, 2,3-dihydrofuran $[29][38-40]$, furan $[31-33][40-43]$, and thiophene $[33][40-45]$ is well precedented. Annulated cyclopropanes resulting from these reactions, were, as a rule, obtained as endo/exo-mixtures.

We investigated the addition of $\overline{5}$ to 3,4-dihydro-2H-pyran, 2,3-dihydrofuran, thiophene, furan, and (E) -2-styrylfuran; we also explored the *Lewis*-acid catalyzed v inylcyclopropane – cyclopentene rearrangement⁴) of the addition products.

The $Rh_2(OAc)_4$ -catalyzed reaction of 5 with 3,4-dihydro-2H-pyran gave 78% of a 4:3 endo/exo-mixture of annulated cyclopropanes 13 that were partially separated by flash chromatography (Scheme 3). Treatment of a 4:3 mixture endo- 13 /exo- 13 with AlCl₃ in CH₂Cl₂ at 0[°] induced a vinylcyclopropane – cyclopentene rearrangement⁵) that led, via zwitterion A, in 88% yield to the 2-oxabicyclo[4.3.0]nona-pyrimidine 14. Similarly, the $Rh_2(OAc)_4$ -catalyzed reaction of 5 with 2,3-dihydrofuran gave 86% of a 2:1 endolexo-mixture of annulated cyclopropanes 15, which could not be separated by flash chromatography. Treatment of this mixture with $Me₂AIC$ in CH₂Cl₂/hexane gave the expected 2-oxabicyclo[3.3.0]octa-pyrimidine 16 (51%) besides the 5H-cyclo-

²) For the preparation of (E) - and (Z) -alkenes from cabenoids, see, *e.g.*, [29].

³⁾ For reviews, see [34].

⁴⁾ For reviews, see $[46-48]$.

⁵⁾ For vinylcyclopropane – cyclopentene rearrangements of 2-oxabicyclo[3.1.0]hexanes and 2-oxabicyclo[4.1.0]heptanes, see [49].

a) $\text{Rh}_2(\text{OAc})_4$, 3,4-dihydro-2H-pyran/CH₂Cl₂ 1:3, r.t.; 78% of endo-13/exo-13 4:3. b) AlCl₃, CH₂Cl₂, 0°; 88%. c) Rh₂(OAc)₄, 2,3-dihydrofuran/CH₂Cl₂ 2:15, r.t.; 86% of endo-15/exo-15 2:1. d) 1m Me₂AlCl in hexane, CH₂Cl₂, -78° to r.t.; 51% of **16** and 20% of **17**. e) Rh₂(OAc)₄, 2-methoxyprop-1-ene/CH₂Cl₂ 2:3, r.t.; 1m Me₂AlCl in toluene, CH_2Cl_2 , -78° to r.t.; 55%.

penta[d] pyrimidine 17 (20%). The position of the cyclopentene C=C bond of 17 is evidenced by a NOE of 10% for the vinyl H-atom resulting from irradiation of the $N(1)CH₂$ group. The formation of 17 from 16 involves the Lewis-acid catalyzed ring opening of the oxolane ring to form an N-acylimmonium cation, followed by deprotonation and isomerisation to the thermodynamically favoured 17 (linear dienone system). The $Rh_2(OAc)_{4}$ -catalyzed reaction of 5 with 2-methoxyprop-1-ene led to a product, presumably an *endolexo*-mixture of cyclopropanes, which was not analyzed, but treated with Me₂AlCl in CH₂Cl₂/hexane to yield 55% of the 7Hcyclopenta[d]pyrimidine 18. The structure of 18 was established by X-ray crystal structure analysis $(Fig.)^6$). Comparing the structure of 17 and 18 suggests that the degree of substitution determines the position of the cyclopentene $C=C$ bond of 18 (hyperconjugation and linear N-acyl dienamine system). This hypothesis is supported

 $6)$ The crystallographic data have been deposited with the *Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre* as deposition No. CCDC-671360 (18) and CCDC-671361 (20). Copies of the data can be obtained, free of charge, on application to the CCDC, 12 Union Road, Cambridge CB2 1EZ (fax: $+44(1223)336033$; e-mail: deposit@ccdc.cam.ac.uk).

Figure. X-Ray crystal structures of 18 and 20

by AM1 calculations according to which 17 and 18 are more stable by 2.69 and 1.86 kcal/ mol, respectively, than their $C = C$ bond isomers.

The $Rh_2(OAc)_4$ -catalyzed reaction of 5 with thiophene gave selectively the *exo*configured 2-thiabicyclo[3.1.0]hex-3-ene **19** (69%; *Scheme 4*). Neither Me₂AlCl nor $AICI₃$ promoted a vinylcyclopropane – cyclopentane rearrangement, and 19 remained unaffected by these Lewis acids. In contradistinction, the $Rh_2(OAc)_4$ -catalyzed reaction of 5 with furan led in 73% yield to the 8-oxabicyclo[3.2.1]octa-2,6-diene 207). Apparently, $Rh_2(OAc)_4$ catalyzed the formation of the 2-oxabicyclo[3.1.0]hex-3-ene A1 and its fragmentation to the zwitterion A2 which reacts via A3 to 20. The selective formation of the bridged rearrangement product 20 correlates with the far higher stability of the 2H-furanium cation $\mathbf{A2}$ than of the analogous 3H-furanium cation $(\Delta E = 16.47 \text{ kcal/mol};$ AM1 calculation). The structure of 20 was established by X-ray crystal structure analysis $(Fig.)^6$.

Finally, we explored the $Rh_2(OAc)_4$ -catalyzed reaction of 5 with (E) -2-styrylfuran. It led exclusively to a mixture of diastereoisomeric hepta-1,4,6-trien-3-ones 21 (Scheme 4). A ¹H-NMR spectrum of the crude product showed four ss for $H-C(5)$ of the uracil moiety, resonating at 5.75, 5.78, 6.01, and 5.96 ppm, and suggesting a ca. 50:26:20:4 mixture (Z, Z, E) -21/ (Z, E, E) -21/ (E, E, E) -21/ (E, Z, E) -21. Flash chromatography (AcOEt/cyclohexane 2:1) gave a pure sample of (Z, Z, E) -21 (6%) and a mixture of the four diastereoisomers of 21 (69%). Keeping a solution of this mixture in MeOH at ambient temperature for 7 d led to the complete disappearance of (Z, Z, E) -21 in favour of (E, E, E) -21. Evaporation and crystallisation from AcOEt/hexane gave 60% of (E,E,E) -21.

⁷⁾ For the preparation of 8-oxabicyclo[3.2.1]oct-2-enes from 2-oxabicyclo[3.1.0]hex-3-enes by electrocyclic ring opening and 1,3-dipolar cycloaddition, see [43].

a) $Rh_2(OAc)_4$, CH_2Cl_2 , r.t.; 69% of 19; 73% of 20; 6% of (Z,Z,E)-21, and 69% of a mixture of (Z,Z,E)-**21**, (Z,E,E) -21, (E,E,E) -21, and (E,Z,E) -21. b) MeOH, r.t.; 60%.

The formation of 21 is not unexpected, considering the synthesis of penta-2,4 dienals by the reaction of carbenoids with furans [31] [32] [40] [41]. Addition of the carbenoid resulting from 5 to the unsubstituted C=C bond of the furanyl moiety may lead to the intermediate 2-oxabicyclo[3.1.0]hex-3-enes B1. Generation of a zwitterionic species $B2$, corresponding to $A2$, *via the cyclopropanes* $B1$, or directly from styrylfuran is not unlikely, even if no 8-oxabicyclo[3.2.1]octa-2,6-diene was obtained from styrylfuran, since a cyclisation similar to the one of A2 may be disfavoured by the styryl substitutent of the intermediate B2. The cyclopropane B1 may be transformed into 21 by a (concerted) ring opening, similar to the one observed for the $exo-2$ oxabicyclo[3.1.0]hex-3-enes derived from 2-methyl- and 2,5-dimethylfuran [31], with endo-B1 leading to (Z, Z, E) -21 and exo-B1 to (E, Z, E) -21. However, the relative proportion of C=C bond isomers in the crude product requires that \geq 76% of endo-B1 be formed, and the 2-oxabicyclo^[3.1.0]hex-3-enes **B1** appear, therefore, rather unlikely intermediates. We consider the $2H$ -furanium-2-methylide $B2$ to be the relevant intermediate, and to lead to (Z,\bar{Z},E) -21 and (E,\bar{Z},E) -21. An analogous intermediate was postulated as resulting from the addition of carbenoids to furan [31], considering that the corresponding $exo-2-oxabicyclo[3.1.0]hex-3-ene proved stable under the$ reaction conditions [31] [32].

The configuration of $13 - 16$ was assigned on the basis of DQFCOSY, HSQC, and HMBC spectra. The exo- and endo-isomers of 13 and 15 are easily distinguished by the value of the vicinal couplings constants that are larger for *cis*- than for *trans*cyclopropanes (*Table*; cf. [29] [31 – 33] [41] [50]). The O-substituent at $C(1')$ reduces the values for $J(1',7')$ of 13 and $J(1',6')$ of 15 (cf. [51]). The endo-isomers of 13 and 15 show an allylic coupling of 1.2–1.5 Hz between $H-C(5)$ and $H-C(7'$ or 6'), whereas the exo isomers show at best a weak line broadening for these signals. The cisannulation of 14 and 16 is evidenced by $J(4b,8a)$ of 4.5 and $J(4b,7a)$ of 7.8 Hz, respectively. The newly formed methylene group of 14 and 16 appears in the ¹H-NMR spectra as two dds with a geminal coupling of 17.1 and 15.0 Hz, respectively. In the 13 C-NMR spectra, the d of C(4b) of 14 and 16 appears at 76.03 and 83.55 ppm, respectively, the t of $C(9)$ of 14 at 33.37 ppm, and the t of $C(8)$ of 16 at 37.17 ppm.

	$J(1',7')$ of 13 or $J(1',6')$ of 15 and 19	$J(6',7')$ of 13 or $J(5',6')$ of 15 and 19	$J(1',6')$ of 13 or $J(1',5')$ of 15 and 19
$endo-13$	6.6	9.9	6.0
$exo-13$	2.4	a)	7.5
$endo-15$	5.7	9.0	5.3
$exo-15$	1.2	<2.0	5.6
$exo-19$	3.6	3.9	7.5
^a) Not assigned.			

Table. Vicinal Couplings [Hz] of the Cyclopropyl H-Atoms of 13, 15, and 19

The *exo*-orientation of the pyrimidine moiety of 19 is evidenced by small $J(1',6')$ and $J(5,6')$ couplings of 3.6–3.9 Hz (*Table*; *cf.* [45]). These coupling contants are larger than those of exo-15, as expected from replacing the O- by an S-atom. $H_{\text{exo}}-C(8)$ of 20 resonates as a dd at 3.11 ppm and $H_{\text{endo}}-C(8)$ as a d at 2.31 ppm with J_{gem} of 18.0 Hz. The coupling of 6.0 Hz between $H_{\text{exo}}-C(8)$ and $H-C(9)$, and the absence of a coupling between $H_{\text{endo}}-C(8)$ and $H-C(9)$ agree well with the $H-C-C-H$ torsion angles of -45 and 81° of the crystal structure and with the calculated coupling constants of 6.1 and 0.9 Hz. $C(1)$, $C(8)$, and $C(9)$ of 20 resonate at 72.95, 25.82, and 76.38 ppm, respectively.

The assignment of the configuration of (Z, Z, E) -21 and (E, E, E) -21 is based on DQFCOSY, HSQC, and HMBC spectra. The two (Z) - and the (E) -configured C=C bonds of (Z, Z, E) -21 are evidenced by $J(1', 2') = J(2', 3') = J(3', 4') = 11.4 - 11.7$ Hz and $J(6'7') = 16.2$ Hz. Additionally, (Z, Z, E) -21 shows w couplings of 0.9 – 1.2 Hz between $H-C(5)$ and $H-C(1')$, between $H-C(1')$ and $H-C(3')$, and between $H-C(2')$ and $H - C(4')$, and a ⁵J coupling of 1.2 Hz between $H - C(1')$ and $H - C(4')$. J(3',4') = 15.3 and $J(6'$, $J') = 15.9$ Hz of $(E.E.E)$ -21 evidence two (E) -configured C=C bonds, whereas the higher-order signal of $H-C(1')$ and $H-C(2')$ at 7.06–6.93 ppm allows only estimation of the value of *ca*. 16 Hz for the large $J(1',2')$.

We thank Dr. B. Schweizer for the determination of the X-ray structures, and the Swiss National Science Foundation and Syngenta, Basel, for generos support.

Experimental Part

General. Flash chromatography (FC): Merck silica 60 (0.063 – 0.200 mm). UV Spectra: in MeOH, λ_{max} (log ε) in nm. FT-IR Spectra: neat (ATR); in cm⁻¹. HR-MALDI-MS: in 3-hydroxypicolinic acid (3-HPA) matrix. Force-field and semiempirical calculations were done with the programme Spartan 04 Macintosh from Wavefunction Inc., Irvine, CA.

1,3-Bis(methoxymethyl)-6-methyluracil (2) [20]. A suspension of 6-methyluracil (30 g, 0.24 mol) in MeCN (300 ml) was treated with 1.8-diazabicyclo[5.4.0]undec-7-ene (DBU; 78 ml, 0.52 mol) and stirred at r.t. for 0.5 h. The clear soln. was cooled to 0° , treated dropwise with methoxymethyl chloride (MOMCl; 39.9 ml, 0.17 mol), stirred at r.t. for 3 d, and evaporated. The residue was diluted with H2O (400 ml) and extracted with CH₂Cl₂ (4 \times 50 ml). The combined org. layers were washed with brine (30 ml), dried (Na_2SO_4) , and evaporated. FC (cyclohexane/AcOEt 2:1) gave 2 (34.1 g, 67%). M.p. 82.5 – 83.5° ([20]: $83 - 85^{\circ}$).

1,3-Bis(methoxymethyl)uracil-6-carbaldehyde (3) [20] a) From 1. At -78° , a soln. of 2m soln. of LDA in hexane (4 ml, 7.95 mmol) in THF (11 ml) was treated dropwise with a soln. of 1 [21] (530 mg, 2.65 mmol) in dry THF (11 ml) over 10 min, stirred for 1 h, treated with DMF (2.2 ml, 11 mmol), stirred for 2.5 h, treated with AcOH (1.5 ml) , and allowed to warm to 23° . The mixture was diluted with AcOEt and washed with H_2O . The aq. phase was extracted several times with CHCl₃. The org. layers were separately washed with sat. aq. NaHCO₃ soln., H_2O and brine, dried (Na₂SO₄), and evaporated. The AcOEt soln. contained less pure 3. FC (hexane/AcOEt 1:1) gave $3(400 \text{ mg}, 66\%)$.

b) From 2. According to [20], a soln. of 2 (30.0 g, 0.14 mol) in dry 1,4-dioxane/AcOH 11 : 1 (495 ml) was treated with SeO₂ (46.8 g, 0.42 mol), kept for 6 h at reflux, cooled to r.t., diluted with toluene, treated with Celite, and stirred for another 0.5 h. The mixture was filtered through Celite. After evaporation of the filtrate, the residue was treated with H₂O (400 ml) and extracted with CH₂Cl₂ (5 \times 40 ml). The combined org. layers were dried (Na_5SO_4) and evaporated. FC (cyclohexane/AcOEt 1:2) gave 3 (40.7 g, 75%). Syrup. R_f (AcOEt) 0.70.

1,3-Bis(methoxymethyl)uracil-6-carbaldehyde Hydrazone (4). a) A soln. of 3 (200 mg, 0.88 mmol) in dry EtOH (7 ml) was treated with NH₂NH₂ · AcOH (81 mg, 0.88 mmol), and Na₂CO₃ (93 mg, 0.88 mmol) and stirred for 2 h, whereupon a precipitate was formed. After evaporation at 35° , the residue was dissolved in CHCl₃ (10 ml), washed twice with sat. aq. NaHCO₃ soln. and twice with H₂O, dried (Na₂SO₄), and evaporated to give crude $(E)/(Z)$ -4 3:1 (174 mg, 82%).

b) A soln. of 3 (10 g, 43.86 mmol) in 95% EtOH (600 ml) was treated with $NH_2NH_2 \cdot H_2O$ (11 ml, 219.3 mmol) and $NH_2NH_2 \cdot AcOH$ (4 g, 43.86 mmol), and stirred at r.t. for 24 h. A precipitate was gradually formed. After concentration and dilution with $H₂O$ (100 ml), the solid was filtered off and washed with H₂O and EtOH. Crystallisation of the solid $((E)/(Z)-49:1; 8.9 g, 84%)$ from MeOH gave pure (E)-4. White solid. R_f (AcOEt) 0.30. M.p. 193.5 – 194.6°. UV: 223 (3.86), 277 (3.97), 327 (4.14). IR (ATR): 3360w, 3181w, 3082w, 2988w, 2938w, 2831w, 1688m, 1660s, 1644s, 1601m, 1529m, 1446s, 1423s, 1373m, 1354m, 1342m, 1318m, 1265w, 1190m, 1173m, 1145m, 1119w, 1095s, 1073s, 1021m, 973w, 910s, 894s, 871m, 845m, 778m, 766m, 736w. ¹H-NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃; (E)/(Z) 3:1): (E)-isomer: 7.56 (s, $CH=N$; 6.88 (br. s, NH₂); 6.00 (s, H-C(5)); 5.32, 5.27 (2s, 2 CH₂N); 3.38, 3.35 (2s, 2 MeO); (Z)-isomer: 6.92 (s, CH=N); 6.48 (br. s, NH₂); 5.84 (s, H-C(5)); 5.29, 5.12 (2s, 2 CH₂N); 3.385, 3.36 (2s, 2 MeO). ${}^{1}H\text{-NMR}$ (300 MHz, (D_{6}) DMSO; $(E)/(Z)$ 9:1): (E) -isomer: 8.27 (s, NH₂); 7.50 (s, CH=N); 5.89 (s, $H-C(5)$; 5.20, 5.05 (2s, 2 NCH₂O); 3.29, 3.25 (2s, 2 MeO); (Z)-isomer: 7.38 (s, NH₂); 6.80 (s, CH=N); 5.78 (s, H – C(5)); 5.27, 5.17 (2s, 2 NCH₂O); 3.285, 3.27 (2s, 2 MeO). ¹³C-NMR (75 MHz, (D₆)DMSO; only (E) isomer): 161.42 (s, C(4)); 152.42 (s, C(2)); 149.85 (s, C(6)); 124.85 (d, CH=N); 93.54 (d, C(5)); 74.53, 71.47 (2t, 2 NCH₂O); 56.88, 56.03 (2q, 2 MeO). HR-EI-MS: 242.1016 (M^+ , C₉H₁₄N₄O₄'; calc. 242.1015).

6-(Diazomethyl)-1,3-bis(methoxymethyl)uracil (5). A suspension of $(E)/(Z)$ -4 9:1 (4.0 g, 17.2 mmol) in DMSO (150 ml) was stirred at 50° until a clear soln. was obtained. The soln. was cooled to r.t., diluted with CH₂Cl₂ (700 ml), treated with MnO₂ (6.0 g, 66.08 mmol), stirred at r.t. for 10 h, treated with Celite, and stirred for another 0.5 h. After filtration through Celite (washing with CH₂Cl₂), the filtrate was washed with H₂O (3×300 ml) and brine (300 ml), dried (Na₂SO₄), and evaporated. The residue was dried under high vacuum to give crude 5 (3.57 g, 90%). Yellow solid (> 95% pure according

to ¹H- and ¹³C-NMR spectroscopy). M.p. $93.8 - 94.8^{\circ}$. R_f (cyclohexane/AcOEt 1:1) 0.30. UV: 227 (4.34), 250 (4.28), 317 (4.28). IR (ATR): 3091w, 2996w, 2940w, 2832w, 2091s, 1694m, 1646s, 1581s, 1448s, 1436s, 1391w, 1369w, 1335s, 1316m, 1264m, 1242w, 1198m, 1188m, 1169m, 1142w, 1109m, 1093s, 1067s, 1026m, 1016m, 968w, 909s, 888m, 801m, 774m, 752w, 735w, 716w, 661w, 647w. ¹ H-NMR (300 MHz, CDCl3): 5.42 $(s, H-C(5))$; 5.38, 5.26 (2s, 2 NCH₂O); 5.33 (s, CH=N₂); 3.44, 3.41 (2s, 2 MeO). ¹³C-NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃): 161.31 (s, C(4)); 152.55 (s, C(2)); 148.47 (s, C(6)); 91.39 (d, C(5)); 75.51, 71.98 (2t, 2 NCH₂O); 57.69, 56.73 (2q, 2 MeO); 44.86 (d, CH=N₂). HR-EI-MS: 240.0855 (M^{+} , C₉H₁₂N₄O₄⁺; calc. 240.0859). Anal. calc. for $C_9H_{12}N_4O_4$ (240.22): C 45.00, H 5.03, N 23.32; found: C 45.00, H 4.95, N 23.13.

4,6-Bis(methoxymethyl)-pyrazolo[4,3-d]pyrimidine-5,7(1H and 2H)-dione (6a and 6b, resp.). A soln. of 5a (500 mg, 4.13 mmol) in toluene (30 ml) was stirred at 110° for 6 h, concentrated to 10 ml, and cooled to r.t. The precipitate was filtered off and washed with toluene to give a slightly yellow solid (150 mg) . After evaporation of the filtrate, FC (CHCl₃/MeOH 95:5) gave additional 120 mg of a solid. Sublimation of the combined solids at $180^{\circ}/0.08$ Torr gave $6a/6b$ (261 mg, 52%). White solid. M.p. 184.5 – 185.08. UV: 228 (3.78), 284 (3.70). IR (ATR): 3144w, 3120w, 2996w, 2934m, 2827w, 1706m, 1650s (br.), 1613m, 1605m, 1533w, 1491w, 1467w, 1449w, 1414m, 1401w, 1391w, 1369w, 1347m, 1303m, 1275s, 1198m, 1180m, 1091s, 1083s, 1038m, 964m, 946m, 916m, 904m, 879m, 800s, 783m, 752s, 695s, 666m, 634w, 621w. ¹H-NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃; equilibrating mixture of $6a/6b$): 12.5 (br. s, NH); 7.73 (s, H-C(3)); 5.52, 5.40 (2s, 2 NCH₂O); 3.49, 3.42 (2s, 2 MeO). ¹³C-NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃, equilibrating mixture of 6a/6b): 157.10 (s, C(7)); 151.41 (s, C(5)); 127.9 (br. s, C(7a)); 127.61 (s, C(3a)); 119.01 (br. d, C(3)); 77.04, 72.58 $(2t, 2NCH_2)$; 57.96, 56.91 $(2q, 2\text{ MeO})$. HR-EI-MS: 240.0855 $(M^+, C_9H_{12}N_4O_4^+$; calc. 240.0859). Anal. calc. for $C_9H_{12}N_4O_4$ (240.22): C 45.00, H 5.03, N 23.32; found: C 44.94, H 4.94, N, 23.18.

Pyrazolo[4,3-d]pyrimidine-5,7(1H and 2H)-dione (7a and 7b, resp.) [26]. A soln. of 6 (110 mg, 0.46 mmol) in dry CH₂Cl₂ (66 ml) was cooled to -78° , treated dropwise with 1m BBr₃ in CH₂Cl₂ (5.5 ml), stirred for 5 h, and warmed gradually to r.t. The mixture was stirred at r.t. for 48 h, cooled to 0° , and treated with MeOH (10 ml). After evaporation and co-evaporation with MeOH (4×15 ml), a suspension of residue in MeOH (30 ml) was filtered. After evaporation of the filtrate, crystallisation from MeOH gave 7a/7b 1:1 (38.3 mg, 55%). M.p. 265.0 – 266.0°. UV: 206 (4.11), 282 (3.55). IR (ATR): 3290m, 3221m, 3132m, 3072w, 2830w, 1686s (br.), 1615m, 1454w, 1432m, 1382m, 1353w, 1304m, 1295m, 1169m, $1146m$, $1072w$, $1010w$, $953w$, $844m$, $787s$, $745m$, $708s$, $645m$, $631m$. $\rm ^1H\text{-NMR}$ $(300\,\rm{MHz},$ $(\textbf{D}_6)\textbf{DMSO};$ $7a/$ **7b** 1:1): 13.95, 13.75 (2s, 2 NH); 11.08, 10.94, 10.85, 10.71 (4s, 4 NH); 7.62, 7.42 (2s, 2 H – C(3)). ¹³C-NMR (75 MHz, (D_6) DMSO; **7a/7b** 1:1): 158.64 (s, C(7) of **7b**); 154.91 (s, C(7) of **7a**); 151.24 (s, C(5) of **7a** and **7b**); 131.26 (s, C(7a) of **7b**); 129.90 (s, C(3a) of **7a**); 127.18 (s, C(3a) of **7b**); 125.08 (d, C(3) of **7a**); 121.23 (s, C(7a) of **7a**); 113.80 (d, C(3) of **7b**). HR-EI-MS: 152.0334 (M^+ , C₅H₄N₄O₂⁺; calc. 152.0329).

Methylation of 6a/6b. A suspension of NaH (65% NaH in mineral oil washed with hexane, 12 mg, 0.5 mmol) in dry DMSO (2 ml) was stirred for 15 min, treated with 6a/6b (100 mg, 0.42 mmol), stirred for 45 min, treated dropwise with MeI (93 µl, 0.84 mmol), stirred for 3 h, and poured into ice-cold H₂O. After extraction with CH₂Cl₂, the combined org. layers were dried (Na₂SO₄) and evaporated. FC (hexane/AcOEt 2:1) and crystallisations from 95% EtOH gave $8a$ (57 mg, 54%) and $8b$ (25 mg, 24%).

Data of 1-Methylpyrazolo[4,3-d]pyrimidine-5,7(1H)-dione (8a). M.p. 116.4 – 117.4°. R_f (hexane/ AcOEt 2 : 3) 0.40 (UV: dull blue). IR (ATR): 3115w, 3000w, 2953w, 2938w, 2830w, 1708m, 1665s (br.), 1595m, 1548m, 1535m, 1446s, 1432s, 1416w, 1377w, 1331m, 1313s, 1262m, 1235w, 1188m, 1168m, 1154m, 1089s, 1038w, 994s, 958s, 924s, 913s, 835m, 796m, 768m, 752m, 738m, 668s, 631w, 615w. ¹ H-NMR $(300 \text{ MHz}, \text{CDCl}_3)$: 7.48 $(s, H-C(3))$; 5.47, 5.36 $(2s, 2 \text{ NCH}_2\text{O})$; 4.22 $(s, \text{ MeN})$; 3.47, 3.40 $(2s, 2 \text{ MeO})$. $13C-NMR$ (75 MHz, CDCl₃): 154.51 (s, C(7)); 151.21 (s, C(5)); 129.65 (s, C(3a)); 123.81 (d, C(3)); 119.70 $(s, C(7a))$; 76.29, 72.24 (2t, 2 NCH₂O); 57.66, 56.67 (2q, 2 MeO); 38.63 (q, MeN). HR-EI-MS: 254.1007 $(M^+$, C₁₀H₁₄N₄O₄⁺; calc. 254.1015).

Data of 2-Methylpyrazolo[4,3-d]pyrimidine-5,7(2H)-dione (8b). M.p. 141.4 – 142°. R_f (hexane/ AcOEt 2 : 3) 0.10 (UV: bright blue). IR (ATR): 3115w, 2936w, 2822w, 1713m, 1670s, 1603m, 1527m, 1445m, 1405m, 1303m, 1278m, 1181m, 1098s, 1081s, 937s, 906s, 752m, 699s, 660m, 606m. ¹ H-NMR $(300 \text{ MHz}, \text{CDCl}_3)$: 7.41 $(s, H-C(3))$; 5.51, 5.31 $(2s, 2 \text{ NCH}_2O)$; 4.06 $(s, \text{ MeN})$; 3.47, 3.38 $(2s, 2 \text{ MeO})$. 13C-NMR (75 MHz, CDCl3): 157.24 (s, C(7)); 151.22 (s, C(5)); 130.68 (s, C(7a)); 127.42 (s, C(3a)); 116.22 $(d, C(3))$; 76.84, 72.23 (2t, 2 NCH₂O); 57.75, 56.67 (2q, 2 MeO); 40.72 (q, MeN). HR-EI-MS: 254.1007 $(M^+$, C₁₀H₁₄N₄O₄⁺; calc. 254.1015).

Treatment of 5 with $Rh_2(OAc)_4$. A soln. of 5 (500 mg, 2.1 mmol) in dry CH₂Cl₂ (20 ml) was treated with $Rh_2(OAc)_4$ (9 mg, 0.02 mmol), stirred at r.t. under Ar for 20 min, and evaporated. The residue (crude $(Z)/(E)$ -9 ca. 3:1) was diluted with hexane/AcOEt 1:1 (15 ml) and stirred for 20 min. The solid was filtered off and washed with hexane/AcOEt 1:1 to give pure (Z) -9 (101 mg). FC (cyclohexane/ AcOEt $1:1 \rightarrow 1:4$ and then CH₂Cl₂/MeOH 10:1) of the mother liquor gave (Z)-9 (106 mg; total yield: 45%) and (E)-9 (98 mg, 22%).

Data of (Z) -6,6'-(Ethene-1,2-diyl)bis[1,3-bis(methoxymethyl)uracil] $((Z)$ -9). R_f (hexane/AcOEt 2:3) 0.40. M.p. 125.2 – 126.3°. UV: 228 (4.36), 267 (3.99). IR (ATR): 3091w, 3050w, 2965w, 2938w, 2829w, 1707m, 1651s, 1616m, 1447s, 1415m, 1373m, 1339m, 1314m, 1252w, 1194m, 1170m, 1143m, 1090s, 1018m, 973w, 912m, 869m, 808w, 776m, 760w, 737w, 700w, 680w, 643w, 609w. ¹H-NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): 6.78 (s, $CH=CH$); 5.62 (s, 2 H – C(5)); 5.35, 5.24 (2s, 4 NCH₂O); 3.46, 3.43 (2s, 4 MeO). ¹³C-NMR (75 MHz, $CDCl₃$): 161.57 (s, 2 C(4)); 152.14 (s, 2 C(2)); 148.66 (s, 2 C(6)); 128.01 (d, CH=CH); 102.65 (d, 2 C(5)); 75.83, 72.29 (2t, 4 NCH₂O); 57.91, 57.32 (2q, 4 MeO). HR-EI-MS: 424.1589 (M^+ , C₁₈H₂₄N₄O₈'; calc. 424.1594). Anal. calc. for $C_{18}H_{24}N_4O_8$ (424.41; 9/10 *ca.* 3 :1): C 50.94, H 5.70, N 13.20; found: C 51.00, H 5.68, N 13.09.

Data of (E)-6,6'-(Ethene-1,2-diyl)bis[1,3-bis(methoxymethyl)uracil] ((E)-9). R_f (hexane/AcOEt 2:3) 0.45. M.p. 234.5 - 235.3°. UV: 228 (4.39), 319 (4.08). IR (ATR): 3075w, 2991w, 2937w, 2830w, 1697s, 1650s, 1615s, 1484w, 1437s, 1411s, 1376w, 1352m, 1320m, 1262w, 1241w, 1196m, 1174m, 1147s, 1094m, 1082s, 1023m, 992m, 965m, 922m, 913m, 875m, 861m, 777m, 738m, 699m, 670w, 638m. ¹ H-NMR $(300 \text{ MHz}, \text{CDCl}_3)$: 7.19 (s, CH=CH); 6.01 (s, 2H-C(5)); 5.40, 5.27 (2s, 4 NCH₂O); 3.47, 3.46 (2s, 4 MeO). ¹³C-NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃): 161.74 (s, 2 C(4)); 152.10 (s, 2 C(2)); 149.57 (s, 2 C(6)); 128.28 (d, CH=CH); 101.66 (d, 2 C(5)); 75.86, 72.37 (2t, 4 NCH₂O); 58.07, 57.33 (2q, 4 MeO). HR-EI-MS: 424.1584 (M^+ , C₁₈H₂₄N₄O₈⁺; calc. 424.1594).

 (Z) -6,6'-(Ethene-1,2-diyl)diuracil $((Z)$ -10). Under Ar, a soln. of (Z) -9 (100 mg, 0.24 mmol) in dry CH₂Cl₂ (60 ml) was cooled to -78° , treated with 1m BBr₃ in CH₂Cl₂ (5.5 ml), stirred for 12 h, warmed gradually to r.t., stirred for 48 h, and treated dropwise with MeOH (10 ml). The soln. was stirred at 0° for 30 min. After evaporation and co-evaporation with MeOH $(3 \times 10 \text{ ml})$, a soln. of the residue in MeOH was neutralized with Amberlite IR 93A (OH-form) and filtered (washing with MeOH). Evaporation of the filtrate gave (Z)-10 (30.4 mg, 52%). M.p. $> 350^{\circ}$ (dec.). IR (ATR): 3200w (sh), 3140w, 3090w, 3030w, 2806w, 1692s, 1666s, 1496m, 1440m, 1406s, 1332w, 1265w, 1053m, 1010m, 966m, 852s, 759s, 683m, 642m, 615m. ¹H-NMR (300 MHz, (D₆)DMSO): 11.11 (s, 2 NH); 11.00 (s, 2 NH); 6.44 (s, CH=CH); 5.44 (s, $2 H - C(5)$). ¹³C-NMR (75 MHz, (D₆)DMSO): 163.64 (s, 2 C(4)); 151.16 (s, 2 C(2)); 147.71 (s, 2 C(6)); 127.57 (d, CH=CH); 99.84 (d, 2 C(5)). HR-MALDI-MS: 271.0435 ([$M + Na$]⁺, C₁₀H₈N₄NaO₈'; calc. 271.0443).

 (E) -6,6'-(Ethene-1,2-diyl)diuracil ((E)-10). Under Ar, a soln. of (E)-9 (100 mg, 0.24 mmol) in dry CH_2Cl_2 (60 ml) was cooled to -78° , treated with 1m BBr₃ in CH₂Cl₂ (3.54 ml), stirred for 12 h, gradually warmed to r.t., and stirred for 48 h. After the dropwise addition of MeOH (8 ml) at 0° , the mixture was stirred at 0 \degree for 30 min, evaporated, and co-evaporated with MeOH (3 \times 10 ml). A soln. of the residue in MeOH (30 ml) was neutralized with Amberlite IR 93A (OH⁻ form) and filtered (washing with MeOH). Evaporation of the filtrate gave (E) -10 (34 mg, 58%). M.p. $> 260^{\circ}$ (dec.). IR (ATR): 3440w (br.), 3200w (sh), 3091m, 2991m, 2807m, 1697s, 1648s (br.), 1512m, 1439s, 1409s, 1365m, 1321m, 1238w, 1061m, 1021w, 968m, 855m, 760s, 710m, 662m, 638m. ¹ H-NMR (300 MHz, (D6)DMSO): 11.18 (s, 2 NH); 11.01 (s, $2 NH$); 7.11 (s, CH=CH); 5.62 (s, $2 H-C(5)$).

Isomerisation of (Z) -9. A soln. of (Z) -9 (500 mg, 1.18 mmol) in CHCl₃ (200 ml) was treated with I₂ (20 mg, 0.079 mmol) and irradiated with visible light (table lamp) at r.t. for 15 d. After evaporation, the residue was suspended in cyclohexane/AcOEt 1 : 1 (20 ml). The solid was filtered off and washed with cyclohexane/AcOEt 1:1 (5 ml) to give (E) -9 (160 mg, 32%). Evaporation of the filtrate and FC (cyclohexane/AcOEt 2 : 1) gave (Z)-9 (240 mg, 48%) and 11 (50 mg, 10%).

Data of 2,4,7,9-Tetrakis(methoxymethyl)pyrimido[5,4-f]quinazoline-1,3,8,10-tetraone (11). R_f (cyclohexane/AcOEt 1:1) 0.30. M.p. 234.5 – 235.0°. UV: 246 (4.49), 265 (sh). IR (ATR): 3109w, 2998w, 2933w, 2828w, 1732m, 1704m, 1664s (br.), 1576w, 1496m, 1482s, 1475s, 1451m, 1436m, 1415s, 1315s, 1307s, 1246w, 1201w, 1187m, 1177m, 1153m, 1145m, 1112w, 1079s, 1023s, 981m, 912s, 890s, 828m, 787m, 761w, 752m, 729w, 713m, 695m. ¹H-NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): 7.76 (s, H $-C(5)$, H $-C(6)$); 5.58, 5.53 (2s, 4 NCH₂O);

3.52, 3.45 (2s, 4 MeO). 13C-NMR (75 MHz, CDCl3): 158.62 (s, C(1), C(10)); 150.87 (s, C(3), C(8)); 137.42 $(s, C(4a), C(6a))$; 122.06 (d, $C(5), C(6)$); 114.61 (s, $C(10a), C(10b)$); 75.40, 73.36 (2t, 4 NCH₂O); 58.09, 56.76 (2q, 4 MeO). HR-MALDI-MS: 461.1072 (10, $[M + K]^+$, $C_{18}H_{22}KN_4O_8^+$; calc. 461.1075), 445.1326 $(31, [M + Na]^+, C_{18}H_{22}N_4NaO_8^+$; calc. 445.1335), 423.1507 (100, $[M + H]^+, C_{18}H_{23}N_4O_8^+$; calc. 423.1510). Anal. calc. for $C_{18}H_{22}N_4O_8$ (422.39): C 51.18, H 5.25, N 13.26; found: C 51.53, H 5.04, N 12.94.

Pyrimido[5,4-f]quinazoline-1,3,8,10-tetraone (12). A soln. of (Z) -9 (100 mg, 0.24 mmol) in 12N HCl (1 ml) was stirred at 95 \degree for 8 h. The precipitate was filtered off and washed with H₂O (until pH 7) and MeOH. Drying gave yellow powdered 12 (43 mg, 73%). M.p. $>$ 330 $^{\circ}$ (dec.). UV: 203 (3.24), 241 (3.18). IR (ATR): 3350w (sh), 3261m, 3160w, 3019m, 2829w, 1656s (br.), 1503m, 1428s, 1291m, 1223m, 1208m, 1183m, 1055m, 1004m, 961m, 890m, 853m, 780w, 757s, 640s. ¹ H-NMR (300 MHz, (D6)DMSO): 11.22 (s, 2 NH); 10.92 (s, 2 NH); 6.86 (s, H – C(5), H – C(6)). ¹³C-NMR (75 MHz, (D₆)DMSO): 162.92 (s, C(1), $C(10)$); 150.54 (s, C(3), C(8)); 143.39 (s, C(4a), C(6a)); 130.57 (d, C(5), C(6)); 108.68 (s, C(10a), $C(10b)$). HR-MALDI-MS: 247.0465 ([$M + H$]⁺, C₁₀H₇N₄O₄⁺; calc. 247.0462).

Reaction of 5 with 3,4-Dihydro-2H-pyran. A soln. of 3,4-dihydro-2H-pyran (20 ml) in CH₂Cl₂ (20 ml) was treated with $Rh_2(OAc)_4$ (40 mg, 8.4 µmol), stirred at r.t. for 30 min, treated dropwise with soln. of 5 (1.0 g, 4.16 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (40 ml), stirred at r.t. for 30 min, and evaporated. FC (CH₂Cl₂) AcOEt 4:1) gave endo-13/exo-13 4:3 (962 mg, 78%). FC (CH₂Cl₂/AcOEt 8:1) gave pure samples of endo-13 and exo-13.

Data of endo-1,3-Bis(methoxymethyl)-6-(2-oxabicyclo[4.1.0]heptan-7-yl)uracil (endo-13). Syrup. R_f (cyclohexane/AcOEt 1 : 1) 0.33. UV: 208 (3.96), 271 (3.92). IR (ATR): 2940w, 2865w, 2830w, 1710m, 1660s, 1624m, 1432s, 1386w, 1359m, 1329m, 1307m, 1282w, 1235w, 1194m, 1171w, 1148m, 1124m, 1081s, 1053m, 1026m, 983w, 963w, 940w, 913m, 879w, 831m, 801w, 773m, 735m, 706w. ¹ H-NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃; assignments based on DOFCOSY, HSOC, and HMBC spectra): 5.98 (d, $J = 1.2$, H-C(5)); 5.47, 5.41 (2d, J = 10.8, CH₂ – N(1)); 5.38 (s, CH₂ – N(3)); 3.86 (t, J \approx 6.3, H – C(1')); 3.59 (br. dt, J = 10.5, 3.6, $H_{eq} - C(3'))$; 3.45 (s, MeOCH₂-N(3)); 3.41 (s, MeOCH₂-N(1)); 3.32 (td, J = 10.8, 2.7, H_{ax} - C(3')); 2.08 $(ddt, J \approx 14.7, 11.7, 7.2, H_{av} - C(5'))$; 1.93 (br. ddt, $J \approx 14.7, 3.0, 1.5, H_{av} - C(5'))$; 1.86 (ddd, $J = 9.9, 6.0, 1.5$, $H-C(7')$); 1.5 – 1.38 (m, $H-C(6')$); 1.5 – 1.22 (m, 2 H – C(4')). ¹³C-NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃; assignments based on a HSQC and a HMBC spectrum): 162.27 (s, C(4)); 153.04 (s, C(2)); 151.74 (s, C(6)); 104.73 (d, $C(5)$; 75.46 (t, CH₂-N(1)); 72.12 (t, CH₂-N(3)); 63.99 (t, C(3')); 57.81 (q, MeOCH₂-N(3)); 57.11 (q, $MeOCH_2-N(1)$; 53.57 (d, C(1')); 22.43 (t, C(4')); 20.81 (d, C(7')); 16.82 (t, C(5')); 15.13 (d, C(6')). HR- $\rm{MALDI\text{-}MS}\:$: 319.1260 ($\rm{[}M+\rm{Na}\rm{]}^+$, $\rm{C_{14}H_{20}N_2NaO_5^+}$; calc. 319.1264). Anal. calc. for $\rm{C_{14}H_{20}N_2O_5}$ (296.32): C 56.75, H 6.80, N 9.45; found: C 56.72, H 6.72, N 9.25.

Data of exo-1,3-Bis(methoxymethyl)-6-(2-oxabicyclo[4.1.0]heptan-7-yl)uracil (exo-13). Syrup. R_f (cyclohexane/AcOEt 1 : 1) 0.32. UV: 210 (3.99), 271 (3.99). IR (ATR): 2938w, 2860w, 2830w, 1711m, 1660s, 1623m, 1440s, 1385w, 1353m, 1337m, 1278w, 1254w, 1232w, 1195m, 1175w, 1136m, 1095m, 1082s, 1030m, 1013m, 989w, 969m, 913m, 868w, 855w, 817w, 781m, 772m, 727s, 667w, 646w, 617w. ¹ H-NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃; assignments based on DQFCOSY, HSQC, and HMBC spectra): 5.47, 5.40 (2d, $J =$ 10.5, CH₂-N(1)); 5.32 (br. s, CH₂-N(3)); 5.30 (br. s, H–C(5)); 3.63 (dd, J=7.5, 2.4, H–C(1')); 3.63 (br. dt, $J \approx 10.5$, 2.7, H_{eq}-C(3')); 3.44 (s, MeOCH₂-N(1)); 3.40 (s, MeOCH₂-N(3)); 3.37 (td, $J = 10.5$, 6.3, $H_{ax} - C(3')$; 2.06 – 2.00 (m, 2 H – C(5'), H – C(7'); 1.58 – 1.51 (m, 2 H – C(4'), H – C(6')). ¹³C-NMR $(75 \text{ MHz}, \text{CDCl}_3$; assignments based on a HSQC and a HMBC spectrum): 162.35 $(s, C(4))$; 154.80 $(s,$ $C(6)$); 152.71 (s, C(2)); 98.18 (d, C(5)); 75.06 (t, CH₂-N(1)); 71.99 (t, CH₂-N(3)); 64.37 (t, C(3')); 59.34 $(d, C(1'))$; 57.72 $(q, MeOCH_2-N(3))$; 57.02 $(q, MeOCH_2-N(1))$; 24.53 $(d, C(7'))$; 21.69 $(t, C(4'))$; 20.48 $(d, C(6'))$; 18.75 $(t, C(5'))$. HR-MALDI-MS: 319.1261 ($[M + Na]^+$, $C_{14}H_{20}N_2NaO_5^+$; calc. 319.1264).

cis-1,3-Bis(methoxymethyl)-4b,6,7,8,8a,9-hexahydropyrano[2',3':3,4]cyclopenta[1,2-d]pyrimidine-2,4-dione (14). A soln. of endo-13/exo-13 4:3 (500 mg, 1.69 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (25 ml) was cooled to 0°, treated with AlCl₃ (675 mg, 5.06 mmol), stirred for 3 h, treated with H₂O (30 ml), and extracted with CH_2Cl_2 (3 × 10 ml). The combined org. layers were neutralized with sat. NaHCO₃ soln., washed with brine, dried (Na_2SO_4) , and evaporated. FC (cyclohexane/AcOEt 2:1) gave 14 (442 mg, 88%). Syrup. R_f (cyclohexane/AcOEt 2 : 1) 0.23. UV: 210 (3.91), 266 (3.91). IR (ATR): 2930m, 1715s, 1666s, 1636m, 1479m, 1090s, 1055s, 916m, 897m. ¹ H-NMR (300 MHz, CDCl3 ; assignments based on DQFCOSY, HSQC, and HMBC spectra): 5.41, 5.35 (2d, $J = 9.6$, CH₂-N(3)); 5.27, 5.14 (2d, $J = 10.5$, CH₂-N(1)); 4.76 $(d, J = 4.5, H - C(4b))$; 3.83 (br. dt, $J \approx 10.8, 2.1, H_{eq} - C(6)$); 3.44 (td, $J \approx 11.1, 2.1, H_{eq} - C(6)$); 3.44 (s,

 $MeOCH_2-N(3)$; 3.43 (s, MeOCH₂-N(1)); 2.98 (dd, J = 17.1, 9.3, H_a-C(9)); 2.83 (dd, J = 17.1, 7.5, $H_b-C(9)$; 2.38 – 2.27 $(m, H-C(8a))$; 1.88 $(dt, J \approx 9.6, 4.5, 2 H-C(8))$; 1.78 – 1.62 $(m, H_a-C(7))$; 1.53 (br. $d, J \approx 13.2$, H_{eq}-C(7)). ¹³C-NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃; assignments based on a HSQC and a HMBC spectrum): 159.83 (s, C(4)); 159.72 (s, C(9a)); 152.74 (s, C(2)); 114.09 (s, C(4a)); 76.09 (t, CH₂-N(1)); 76.03 (d, C(4b)); 72.17 (t, CH₂-N(3)); 65.28 (t, C(6)); 57.89 (q, MeOCH₂-N(3)); 57.36 (q, $MeOCH_2-N(1)$; 36.09 (d, C(8a)); 33.37 (t, C(9)); 23.73 (t, C(8)); 21.00 (t, C(7)). HR-MALDI-MS: 297.1446 ($[M+H]^+$, $\rm C_{14}H_{21}N_2O_5^+$; calc. 297.1445). Anal. calc. for $\rm C_{14}H_{20}N_2O_5$ (296.32): C 56.75, H 6.80, N 9.45; found: C 56.99, H 6.77, N 9.19.

endo- and exo-1,3-Bis(methoxymethyl)-6-(2-oxabicyclo[3.1.0]hex-6-yl)uracil (endo-15 and exo-15). A soln. of 2,3-dihydrofuran (6 ml) in CH₂Cl₂ (15 ml) was treated with $Rh_2(OAc)_4$ (40 mg, 0.084 mmol), stirred at r.t. for 30 min, treated dropwise with soln. of $5(1.0 \text{ g}, 3.54 \text{ mmol})$ in CH₂Cl₂ (30 ml) for 30 min, and evaporated. FC (cyclohexane/AcOEt 1:4) gave endo-15/exo-15 2:1 (1.01 g, 86%). UV: 207 (3.27), 271 (3.27). IR (ATR): 2942w, 2895w, 2829w, 1711m, 1659s, 1622m, 1435s, 1382w, 1341m, 1308w, 1251w, 1195m, 1164w, 1145w, 1117m, 1082s, 1062m, 1025m, 965m, 912m, 883m, 834m, 817m, 783w, 771m, 756m, 693w, 661w. R_f (cyclohexane/AcOEt 1:3) 0.35. ¹H-NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃; *endo-***15**/exo-**15** 2:1): *endo-*15: 5.94 (d, J = 1.5, H – C(5)); 5.44 (s, CH₂-N(3)); 5.39, 5.32 (2d, J = 10.9, CH₂-N(1)); 4.27 (t, J = 5.5, $H-C(1')$); 4.07 (dt, J = 9.5, 4.4, $H_a-C(3')$); 3.45 – 3.39 (hidden by MeO signals, $H_b-C(3')$); 3.44, 3.41 (2s, 2 MeO ; $2.36 - 2.20$, $2.19 - 2.10$ $(2m, H_a - C(4'), H - C(5'))$; 1.98 (ddd, J = 13.1, 8.2, 4.1, H_b – C(4')); 1.87 $(ddd, J = 9.0, 5.3, 1.5, H-C(6'))$; exo-15: 5.51, 5.35 (2d, J = 10.8, CH₂-N(1)); 5.37 (s, CH₂-N(3)); 5.31 (s, $H-C(5)$); 4.16 (dt, J = 9.1, 3.1, $H_a-C(3')$); 4.00 (dd, J = 5.6, 1.2, H - C(1')); 3.63 (td, J = 9.6, 7.8, $H_b-C(3')$; 3.44, 3.42 (2s, 2 MeO); 2.36 – 2.20 (2 H), 2.19 – 2.10 (2m, 2 H – C(4'), H – C(6')); 2.06 (br. dd, $J = 10.3, 5.3, H - C(5'))$. ¹³C-NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃; endo-**15**/exo-15 2 :1): endo-15: 162.22 (s, C(4)); 153.63 (s, C(2)); 151.06 (s, C(6)); 103.63 (d, C(5)); 75.25 (t, CH₂-N(1)); 72.11 (t, CH₂-N(3)); 71.20 (t, $C(3')$); 63.26 (d, $C(1')$); 57.78 (q, MeOCH₂-N(3)); 57.04 (q, MeOCH₂-N(1)); 26.18 (t, C(4')); 24.60 (d, $C(6')$; 23.56 (d, $C(5')$); exo-15: 162.11 (s, $C(4)$); 152.95 (s, $C(6)$); 152.65 (s, $C(2)$); 98.67 (d, $C(5)$); 74.99 (t, $CH_2-N(1)$; 72.03 (t, $CH_2-N(3)$); 67.45 (t, $C(3')$); 66.67 (d, $C(1')$); 57.78 (q, $MeOCH_2-N(3)$); 57.04 (q, $MeOCH_2-N(1)$; 27.75 (t, C(4')); 24.66 (d, C(6')); 22.02 (d, C(5')). HR-ESI-MS: 305.1114 ([M + Na]⁺, $\rm C_{13}H_{18}N_2NaO_5^+$; calc. 305.1113). 282.0868 $(M^+, C_{13}H_{18}N_2O_5^+$; calc. 282.1216). Anal. calc. for $\rm C_{13}H_{18}N_2O_5$ (282.3): C 55.31, H 6.43, N 9.92; found: C 54.89, H 6.43, N 9.72.

Lewis Acid-Catalyzed Rearrangement of endo-15/exo-15. A soln. of endo-15/exo-15 2:1 (200 mg, 0.71 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (5 ml) was cooled to -78° , treated with 1m Me₂AlCl in hexane (1.42 ml), stirred for 10 h, warmed gradually to r.t., and stirred for 24 h. The mixture was diluted with H₂O, neutralized with sat. NaHCO₃ soln., and extracted with CH₂Cl₂ (3×10 ml). The combined org. layer were washed with brine, dried (Na_2SO_4) , and evaporated. FC (AcOEt/acetone/cyclohexane 1:1:0.5) gave 16 (101 mg, 51%) and **17** (40 mg, 20%). HR-ESI-MS: 305.1108 ($[M + Na]^+$, C₁₃H₁₈N₂NaO $\frac{1}{5}$; calc. 305.1113). Anal. calc. for $C_{13}H_{18}N_2O_5$ (282.30): C 55.31, H 6.43, N 9.92; found: C 54.89, H 6.43, N 9.72.

Data of cis-1,3-Bis(methoxymethyl)-6,7,7a,8-tetrahydro-1H-furo[2',3':3,4]cyclopenta[1,2-d]pyrimidine-2,4-dione (16). Syrup. R_f (AcOEt/acetone/cyclohexane 1:1:0.5) 0.42. UV: 210 (3.92), 263 (3.86). IR (ATR): 2942w, 2830w, 1714m, 1663s, 1472s, 1392w, 1351m, 1330m, 1281w, 1175m, 1120m, 1075s, 1029m, 989m, 951m, 913s, 860m, 798w, 770m, 727w, 705w, 647w. ¹ H-NMR (300 MHz, CDCl3 ; assignments based on DQFCOSY, HSQC, and HMBC spectra): 5.37, 5.32 $(2d, J = 9.3, CH_2-N(3))$; 5.365 $(d, J = 7.8,$ $H-C(4b)$); 5.18, 5.12 (2d, J = 10.8, CH₂ – N(1)); 3.86 (ddd, J = 9.0, 6.6, 3.9, H_a – C(6)); 3.65 (td, J \approx 6.0, 5.4, $H_b-C(6)$; 3.41 (s, MeOCH₂-N(3)); 3.38 (s, MeOCH₂-N(1)); 3.23 – 3.06 (m, H-C(7a), H_a-C(8)); 2.71 (dd, J = 15.0, 2.1, H_b-C(8)); 2.20 – 2.07 (m, H_a-C(7)); 1.73 – 1.68 (m, H_b-C(7)). ¹³C-NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃; assignments based on a HSQC and a HMBC spectrum): 159.87 (s, C(4)); 156.43 (s, $C(8a)$; 152.83 (s, $C(2)$); 111.51 (s, $C(4a)$); 83.55 (d, $C(4b)$); 75.70 (t, $CH_2-N(1)$); 72.09 (t, $CH_2-N(3)$); 66.60 (t, C(6)); 57.83 (q, MeOCH₂-N(3)); 57.18 (q, MeOCH₂-N(1)); 38.68 (d, C(7a)); 37.17 (t, C(8)); 34.35 (*t*, C(7)). HR-MALDI-MS: 305.1107 (96, $[M + Na]^+$, C₁₃H₁₈N₂NaO₅^{*}; calc. 305.1113), 283.1285 $(100, [M + H]^+, C_{13}H_{19}N_2O_5^+;$ calc. 283.1294).

Data of 6-(2-Hydroxyethyl)-1,3-bis(methoxymethyl)cyclopenta[d]pyrimidine-2,4(5H)-dione (17). Solid. R_f (AcOEt/acetone/cyclohexane 1:1:0.5) 0.30. M.p. 101 – 102°. UV: 215 (4.03), 233 (4.06), 309 (3.85). IR (ATR): 3432w, 2937w, 2828w, 1687s, 1643s, 1547m, 1487s, 1469s, 1446m, 1433m, 1383m, 1363m, 1341m, 1322m, 1272m, 1240m, 1223m, 1188m, 1174m, 1148m, 1126m, 1093s, 1069s, 1041m, 1010s, 952m,

941m, 914s, 885m, 874m, 804m, 767s, 756m, 697m, 675m. ¹H-NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃; assignments based on DOFCOSY, HSOC, and HMBC spectra): 6.50 (s, irrad. at $5.33 \rightarrow \text{NOE}$ of 10% , H-C(7)); 5.41 (s, CH₂-N(3)); 5.33 (s, irrad. at 6.50 \rightarrow NOE of 2%, CH₂-N(1)); 3.89 (q, J = 6.3, CH₂OH); 3.45 (s, $H_2C(5)$); 3.43 (s, MeOCH₂ – N(3)); 3.40 (s, MeOCH₂ – N(1)); 2.79 (t, J = 6.3, CH₂CH₂OH); 2.04 (br. s, OH). ¹³C-NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃; assignments based on a HSQC and a HMBC spectrum): 160.26 (s, $C(6)$); 159.01 (s, C(4)); 155.22 (s, C(7a)); 153.13 (s, C(2)); 120.96 (d, C(7)); 109.93 (s, C(4a)); 75.78 (t, $CH_2-N(1)$; 72.08 (t, $CH_2-N(3)$); 61.28 (t, CH_2OH); 57.59 (q, $MeOCH_2-N(3)$); 56.92 (q, $MeOCH_2-N(1)$); 39.36 (t, C(5)); 34.61 (t, CH₂CH₂OH). HR-MALDI-MS: 305.1106 (100, $[M + Na]$ ⁺, $\rm C_{13}H_{18}N_2NaO_5^+$; calc. 305.1113), 283.1285 (76, $\rm [M + H]^+$, $\rm C_{13}H_{19}N_2O_5^+$; calc. 283.1294), 251.1021 (97, $\rm [M$ $-$ CH₂OH]⁺, C₁₂H₁₅N₂O₄⁺; calc. 251.2580). Anal. calc. for C₁₃H₁₈N₂O₅ (282.30): C 55.31, H 6.43, N 9.92; found: C 55.40, H 6.44, N 9.85.

1,3-Bis(methoxymethyl)-5-methylcyclopenta[d]pyrimidine-2,4-(7 H)-dione (18). A soln. of 2-methoxyprop-1-ene (20 ml) in CH₂Cl₂ (10 ml) was treated with $Rh_2(OAc)_4$ (40 mg, 0.084 mmol), stirred at r.t. for 30 min, treated dropwise with a soln. of $5(1.0 \text{ g}, 4.3 \text{ mmol})$ in CH₂Cl₂ (20 ml), stirred for 30 min and evaporated. A soln. of the residue in CH₂Cl₂ (25 ml) was cooled to -78° , treated with 1m Me₂AlCl in toluene (7.05 ml), and stirred for 10 h at -78° and for 24 h at r.t. Evaporation and FC (AcOEt/ cyclohexane 5:1) gave 18 (0.57 g, 55%). Colourless crystals. R_f (AcOEt/cyclohexane 5:1) 0.72. M.p. 124.7 – 125.08. UV: 214 (3.84), 243 (3.90), 312 (3.45). IR (ATR): 2953w, 2892w, 2835w, 1702m, 1659s, 1582m, 1522w, 1493m, 1475m, 1445m, 1428w, 1413m, 1397w, 1368m, 1327w, 1305m, 1260w, 1237w, 1199m, 1176m, 1138m, 1117m, 1091s, 1070s, 1033m, 1008m, 983m, 956w, 922s, 909s, 877w, 779m, 757m, 740m, 701m, 650m, 621s. ¹H-NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃; assignments based on DQFCOSY, HSQC, and HMBC spectra): 5.69 (br. sext., $J=1.8$, H-C(6)); 5.43 (s, CH₂-N(3)); 5.29 (s, CH₂-N(1)); 3.46 (s, $MeOCH_2-N(3)$; 3.41 (s, MeOCH₂-N(1)); 3.39 (br. quint., $J=2.1$, $2 H-C(7)$); 2.24 (br. q, $J=2.1$, Me). 13 C-NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃; assignments based on a HSQC and a HMBC spectrum): 159.29 (s, $C(4)$); 157.63 (s, $C(7a)$); 152.33 (s, $C(2)$); 139.40 (s, $C(5)$); 118.63 (d, $C(6)$); 116.44 (s, $C(4a)$); 76.05 (t, $CH_2-N(1)$; 72.11 (t, CH₂-N(3)); 57.68 (q, MeOCH₂-N(3)); 57.09 (q, MeOCH₂-N(1)); 37.05 (t, C(7)); 15.09 (q, Me). HR-ESI-MS: 275.1003 ($[M + Na]^+$, C₁₂H₁₆N₂NaO₄⁺; calc. 275.1008). Anal. calc. for $C_{12}H_{16}N_2O_4$ (252.27): C 57.13, H 6.39, N 11.10; found: C 57.25, H 6.36, N 10.96.

X-Ray Analysis of 18^6). Slow evaporation of a soln. of 18 in MeOH gave a single crystal suitable for X-ray-analysis ($0.36 \times 0.08 \times 0.04$ mm; colourless). C₁₂H₁₆N₂O₄ (252.270): monoclinic P₂₁; a = 4.3736(3), $b = 18.3636(11), c = 14.9891(12)$ Å, $\beta = 94.117(4)^\circ$, $V = 1200.74(15)$ Å³; $Z = 4$, $D_{calc} = 1.396$ Mg/m³. Intensities were measured on a *Nonius Kappa CCD* diffractometer, with MoK_a radiation ($\lambda =$ 0.71073 Å, $\theta = 2.167 - 24.713^{\circ}$, $\mu = 0.106$ mm⁻¹) at 223 K. Of the total 7129 reflections 2026 are independent and 1573 were observed. $R = 0.1535$, $R_w = 0.3799$.

exo-1,3-Bis(methoxymethyl)-6-(2-thiabicyclo[3.1.0]hex-3-en-6-yl)uracil (19). A soln. of thiophene (10 ml) and $Rh_2(OAc)_4$ (40 mg, 0.084 mmol) in CH_2Cl_2 (10 ml) was stirred at r.t. for 30 min, treated dropwise with a soln. of 5 (1.0 g, 4.16 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (20 ml), stirred for 2 h, and evaporated. FC (AcOEt/cyclohexane 2:1) gave 19 (0.85 g, 69%). Syrup. R_f (AcOEt/cyclohexane 2:1) 0.40. UV: 203 (4.01), 266 (3.99), 295 (3.91). IR (ATR): 3169w, 3019w, 2923w, 1713w, 1598w, 1495w, 1424w, 1331w, 1155s, 1120s, 1053m, 1032s, 1007s, 918m, 813s, 773m, 708m, 679s. ¹H-NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃; assignments based on DQFCOSY, HSQC, and HMBC spectra): 6.20 $(dd, J = 6.0, 1.5, H-C(3'))$; 5.91 $(dd, J = 5.7, 3.0,$ $H-C(4')$; 5.39, 5.31 (2d, J = 10.5, CH₂-N(1)); 5.34, 5.30 (2d, J = 10.8, CH₂-N(3)); 5.30 (s, H – C(5)); 3.40 (s, $MeOCH_2-N(1)$); 3.39 (s, $MeOCH_2-N(3)$); 3.27 (ddd, J = 7.5, 3.6, 1.5, H – C(1')); 2.96 (br. dt, J \approx 7.2, 3.0, H – C(5')); 1.52 (t, J = 3.9, H – C(6')). ¹³C-NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃; assignments based on a HSQC and a HMBC spectrum): 161.93 (s, C(4)); 155.46 (s, C(6)); 152.46 (s, C(2)); 128.27 (d, C(3')); 122.72 (d, $C(4')$); 97.16 (d, $C(5)$); 74.95 (t, CH₂-N(1)); 71.99 (t, CH₂-N(3)); 57.71 (q, MeOCH₂-N(3)); 56.98 (q, $MeOCH₂-N(1)$; 38.75 (d, C(5')); 34.62 (d, C(1')); 23.05 (d, C(6')). HR-MALDI-MS: 319.0726 (100, $[M+Na]^+$, $C_{13}H_{16}N_2NaO_4^+$; calc. 319.0728), 297.0905 (21, $[M+H]^+$, $C_{13}H_{17}N_2O_4S^+$; calc. 297.0909).

4,6-Bis(methoxymethyl)-12-oxa-4,6-diazatricyclo[7.2.1.02,7]dodeca-2(7),10-diene-3,5-dione (20). A soln. of furan (10 ml) and $Rh_2(OAc)_4$ (30 mg, 0.063 mmol) in CH_2Cl_2 (10 ml) was stirred at r.t. for 30 min, treated dropwise with a soln. of $5(1.0 g, 4.16 mmol)$ in CH₂Cl₂ (20 ml), stirred at r.t for 2 h, and evaporated. FC (AcOEt/cyclohexane 2:1) gave 20 (917 mg, 73%). Colourless crystals. R_f (AcOEt/ cyclohexane 2 : 1) 0.22. M.p. 122.0 – 122.5°. UV: 219 (4.01), 279 (3.82). IR (ATR): 2972w, 2940w, 2826w, 1692m, 1645s, 1585w, 1519w, 1462s, 1445s, 1428m, 1415m, 1390w, 1363m, 1334m, 1321m, 1280w, 1268m, 1250w, 1223m, 1211w, 1175s, 1110s, 1094s, 1070s, 1054s, 1020m, 1008m, 978s, 963m, 943m, 903s, 879m, 831m, 820m, 777s, 760m, 721s, 700s, 655m, 621s. ¹H-NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃; assignments based on DQFCOSY, HSQC, and HMBC spectra): 6.61 (dd, $J = 5.7$, 1.5, H-C(11)); 6.03 (dd, $J = 5.7$, 1.5, $H-C(10)$; 5.49 (d, $J=1.5$, $H-C(1)$); 5.39, 5.35 (2d, $J=9.3$, CH₂-N(4)); 5.24, 5.19 (2d, $J=10.8$, $CH_2-N(6)$; 5.10 (dd, $J = 6.0, 1.5, H-C(9)$); 3.42 (s, MeOCH₂-N(4)); 3.37 (s, MeOCH₂-N(6)); 3.11 $(dd, J=18.0, 6.0, H_{\text{exo}}-C(8))$; 2.31 $(d, J=18.0, H_{\text{endo}}-C(8))$. ¹³C-NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃; assignments based on a HSQC and a HMBC spectrum): 159.82 $(s, C(3))$; 152.30 $(s, C(5))$; 145.63 $(s, C(7))$; 138.00 $(d,$ $C(11)$); 127.73 (d, $C(10)$); 113.79 (s, $C(2)$); 76.38 (d, $C(9)$); 73.77 (t, $CH_2-N(6)$); 72.95 (d, $C(1)$); 72.12 (t, $CH_2-N(4)$; 57.86 (q, MeOCH₂-N(4)); 57.14 (q, MeOCH₂-N(6)); 25.82 (t, C(8)). HR-ESI-MS: $303.0952\ ([M + Na]^{+},\, \rm{C_{13}H_{16}N_2NaO_5^+};$ calc. $303.0957).$ Anal. calc. for $\rm{C_{13}H_{16}N_2O_5}$ (280.28): C 55.71, H 5.75, N 9.99; found: C 55.72, H 5.78, N 9.99.

X-Ray Analysis of 20^6). Slow evaporation of a soln. of 20 in MeOH gave a single crystal suitable for X-ray-analysis $(0.34 \times 0.2 \times 0.08$ mm; colourless). C₁₃H₁₆N₂O₅ (280.280), orthorhombic Pc2₁b; a = 4.6614(2), $b = 16.1141(8)$, $c = 17.3301(8)$, $V = 1301.74(10)$ Å³; $Z = 4$, $D_{calc} = 1.430$ Mg/m³. Intensities were measured on a *Nonius Kappa CCD* diffractometer, with Mo K_a radiation ($\lambda = 0.71073 \text{ Å}$, $\theta =$ $2.425 - 27.485^{\circ}$, $\mu = 0.111$ mm⁻¹) at 223 K. Of the total 2797 reflections 2583 are independent and 2243 were observed. $R = 0.0542$, $R_w = 0.1336$.

Reaction of 5 with (E)-2-Styrylfuran. A soln. of (E)-2-styrylfuran (0.85 g, 4.99 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (20 ml) was treated with $Rh_2(OAc)_4$ (40 mg, 0.084 mmol), stirred at r.t. for 30 min, treated dropwise with a soln. of 5 (1.0 g, 4.16 mmol) in CH_2Cl_2 (20 ml), stirred at r.t. for 1 h, and evaporated. A ¹H-NMR spectrum of the crude product suggested a $50:26:20:4$ mixture (Z,Z,E) -21/ (Z,E,E) -21/ (E,E,E) -21/ (E, Z, E) -21. FC (AcOEt/cyclohexane 2:1) gave pure (Z, Z, E) -21 (100 mg, 6%) and a mixture of all four isomers of 21 (1.6 g, 69%).

Data of 1,3-Bis(methoxymethyl)-6-[(1Z,3Z,6E)-5-oxo-7-phenylhepta-1,3,6-trien-1-yl]uracil $((Z,Z,E)$ -21). Yellow solid. R_f (AcOEt/cyclohexane 3:1) 0.70. M.p. 164.0 $^{\circ}$ (dec.). UV: 202 (4.34), 228 (4.25), 332 (4.47). IR (ATR): 3089w, 2981w, 2920w, 2828w, 1712m, 1662s, 1619s, 1590m, 1573m, 1494w, 1449m, 1433m, 1423m, 1413m, 1389m, 1360m, 1342m, 1323m, 1253m, 1228m, 1192s, 1170m, 1142m, 1093s, 1076s, 1048m, 1017m, 999m, 975m, 966m, 906s, 872m, 858s, 791m, 776s, 734m, 696m, 680m, 642m, 611m. 1 H-NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃; assignments based on DQFCOSY, HSQC, and HMBC spectra): 7.85 (td, $J = 11.7, 1.2, H - C(2'))$; 7.64 (d, $J = 16.2, H - C(7'))$; 7.59 (dd, $J = 7.8, 3.9, H - C(3)$ and $H - C(5)$ of Ph); 7.45 – 7.39 (m, H – C(2), H – C(4) and H – C(6) of Ph); 6.90 (td, J = 11.7, 1.2, H – C(3')); 6.87 (d, J = 16.2, $H-C(6')$; 6.65 (dq, J = 11.7, 1.2, H – C(1')); 6.56 (dt, J = 11.4, 1.2, H – C(4')); 5.75 (d, J = 0.9, H – C(5')); 5.41 (s, CH₂-N(3)); 5.19 (s, CH₂-N(1)); 3.48 (s, MeOCH₂-N(3)); 3.45 (s, MeOCH₂-N(1)). ¹³C-NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃; assignments based on a HSQC and HMBC spectrum): 189.76 $(s, C(5'))$; 161.66 $(s,$ $C(4)$); 152.30 (s, $C(2)$); 148.75 (s, $C(6)$); 144.10 (d, $C(7')$); 135.36 (d, $C(3')$); 134.21 (s, $C(1)$ of Ph); 133.74 $(d, C(2'))$; 130.74 $(d, C(4)$ of Ph); 129.26 $(d, C(4'))$; 128.89 $(d, C(3))$ and $C(5)$ of Ph); 128.37 $(d, C(2))$ and $C(6)$ of Ph); 127.04 (d, $C(6')$); 126.18 (d, $C(1')$); 104.63 (d, $C(5)$); 75.86 (t, $CH_2-N(1)$); 72.22 (t, $CH_2-N(3)$; 57.99 (q, MeOCH₂-N(3)); 57.22 (q, MeOCH₂-N(1)). HR-MALDI-MS: 421.1160 (18, $[M + K]^+$, $C_{21}H_{22}KN_2O_5^+$; calc. 421.1166), 405.1418 (100, $[M + Na]^+$, $C_{21}H_{22}N_2NaO_5^+$; calc. 405.1426), 383.1598 (70, $[M + H]^+$, $C_{21}H_{23}N_2O_5^+$; calc. 383.1607).

1,3-Bis(methoxymethyl)-6-[(1E,3E,6E)-5-oxo-7-phenylhepta-1,3,6-trien-1-yl]uracil ((E,E,E)-21). A soln. of a mixture (Z, Z, E) -21/ (Z, E, E) -21/ (E, E, E) -21/ (E, Z, E) -21 (1.6 g, 4.19 mmol) in MeOH (30 ml) was stirred at r.t. for 7 d when TLC (AcOEt/cyclohexane 3:1) evidenced the complete disappearance of (Z,Z,E) -21. Evaporation and crystallization from cyclohexane/AcOEt 5:1 gave (E,E,E) -21 (1.10 g, 60%). Yellow solid. M.p. $170.0 - 170.4^{\circ}$. R_f (AcOEt/cyclohexane 3:1) 0.68. UV: 202 (4.41), 340 (4.47). IR (ATR): 3086w, 2982w, 2826w, 1704m, 1660s, 1645s, 1620s, 1575s, 1495w, 1433s, 1390m, 1361m, 1343m, 1320m, 1268m, 1254m, 1191m, 1172m, 1143m, 1093s, 1072s, 1021m, 1001s, 967m, 909s, 885m, 870m, 864m, 848m, 777m, 749m, 679m, 667m, 642m, 612m. ¹H-NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃; assignments based on a DQFCOSY, a HSQC and a HMBC spectrum): 7.72 $(d, J = 15.9, H-C(T))$; 7.61 $(dd, J = 6.6, 3.6, H-C(2)$ and H-C(6) of Ph); 7.48 – 7.38 $(m, H-C(3), H-C(4)$ and $H-C(5)$ of Ph, $H-C(3')$; 7.06 – 6.93 $(m,$ $H-C(1'), H-C(2'))$; 6.99 (d, $J=15.9, H-C(6'))$; 6.78 (d, $J=15.3, H-C(4'))$; 6.01 (br. s, $H-C(5))$; 5.40 $(s, CH₂ - N(3))$; 5.28 $(s, CH₂ - N(1))$; 3.48 $(s, MeOCH₂ - N(1))$; 3.46 $(s, MeOCH₂ - N(3))$. ¹³C-NMR

1216 Helvetica Chimica Acta – Vol. 91 (2008)

 $(75 \text{ MHz}, \text{CDCl}_3)$: 188.26 (s, C(5')); 162.07 (s, C(4)); 152.46 (s, C(2)); 150.79 (s, C(6)); 144.31 (d, C(7')); 139.83 (d, C(3')); 136.30 (d, C(2')); 134.40 (s, C(1) of Ph); 133.20 (d, C(4')); 130.87 (d, C(4) of Ph); 129.30 $(d, C(1'))$; 129.02 $(d, C(2)$ and $C(6)$ of Ph); 128.49 $(d, C(3)$ and $C(5)$ of Ph); 125.09 $(d, C(6'))$; 100.24 $(d, d, C(7))$ $C(5)$; 75.71 (t, CH₂-N(1)); 72.24 (t, CH₂-N(3)); 57.91 (q, MeOCH₂-N(3)); 57.12 (q, MeOCH₂-N(1)). HR-MALDI-MS: 421.1160 (26, $[M + K]^+$, $C_{21}H_{22}KN_2O_5^+$; calc. 421.1166), 405.1425 (81, $[M + Na]^+$, $C_{21}H_{22}N_2NaO_5^+$; calc. 405.1426), 383.1599 (100, $[M + H]^+$, $C_{21}H_{23}N_2O_5^+$; calc. 383.1607). Anal. calc. for $C_{21}H_{22}N_2O_{-5}$ (382.42): C 65.96, H 5.80, N 7.33; found: C 65.67, H 5.88, N 7.28.

REFERENCES

- [1] A. Ritter, D. Egli, B. Bernet, A. Vasella, Helv. Chim. Acta 2008, 91, 673.
- [2] A. Vasella, Chimia 2005, 59, 785.
- [3] W. Pfleiderer, K.-H. Schündehütte, Liebigs Ann. Chem. 1958, 612, 158; G. Strauss, Liebigs Ann. Chem. 1960, 638, 205; V. J. Ram, W. R. Knappe, W. Pfleiderer, Liebigs Ann. Chem. 1982, 762.
- [4] N. Boudet, P. Knochel, Org. Lett. 2006, 8, 3737; T. Nagamatsu, T. Fujita, K. Endo, J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1 2000, 33; H. Tanaka, H. Takashima, M. Ubasawa, K. Sekiya, N. Inouye, M. Baba, S. Shigeta, R. T. Walker, E. De Clercq, T. Miyasaka, J. Med. Chem. 1995, 38, 2860; H. Wamhoff, J. Dzenis, K. Hirota, Adv. Heterocycl. Chem. 1992, 55, 129.
- [5] H. P. M. Thiellier, G. J. Koomen, U. K. Pandit, *Tetrahedron* 1977, 33, 1493; H. P. M. Thiellier, A. M. van den Burg, G.-J. Kooman, U. K. Pandit, Heterocycles 1974, 2, 467.
- [6] P. F. Torrence, B. Witkop, Biochemistry 1972, 11, 1737; T. Kunieda, B. Witkop, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1971, 93, 3478; T. Kunieda, B. Witkop, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1969, 91, 7751.
- [7] I. M. Lagoja, Chem. Biodivers. 2005, 2, 1.
- [8] K. S. Jain, T. S. Chitre, P. B. Miniyar, M. K. Kathiravan, V. S. Bendre, V. S. Veer, S. R. Shahane, C. J. Shishoo, Curr. Sci. 2006, 90, 793; L. A. Agrofoglio, Curr. Org. Chem. 2006, 10, 333.
- [9] R. Schaumann, 'Houben-Weyl: Hetarenes IV (Six- and Higher-membered Ring Systems With Two or more Heteroatoms)', Thieme Interscience, 1996.
- [10] D. J. Brown, R. F. Evans, W. B. Cowden, M. D. E. Fenn, 'The Pyrimidine', Wiley-Interscience, New York, 1994.
- [11] P. Norris, H. Shechter, *J. Org. Chem.* **1999**, 64, 7290.
- [12] V. Dave, E. W. Warnhoff, Org. React. 1970, 18, 217; S. D. Burke, P. A. Grieco, Org. React. 1979, 26, 361.
- [13] J. Adams, D. M. Spero, Tetrahedron 1991, 47, 1765; T. Ye, M. A. McKervey, Chem. Rev. 1994, 94, 1091; I. Ojima, M. Tzamarioudaki, Z. Li, R. J. Donovan, Chem. Rev. 1996, 96, 635; M. P. Doyle, M. N. Protopopova, Tetrahedron 1998, 54, 7919; G. H. P. Roos, C. E. Raab, S. Afr. J. Chem. 2001, 54, 7; C. A. Merlic, A. L. Zechman, Synthesis 2003, 1137.
- [14] A. Padwa, K. E. Krumpe, Tetrahedron 1992, 48, 5385; A. Padwa, J. Organomet. Chem. 2001, 617, 3.
- [15] V. F. Ferreira, L. O. R. Pereira, M. C. B. V. de Souza, A. C. Cunha, Quim. Nova 2001, 24, 540; V. F. Ferreira, Curr. Org. Chem. 2007, 11, 177.
- [16] G. Maas, Chem. Soc. Rev. 2004, 33, 183.
- [17] H. M. L. Davies, Ø. Loe, Synthesis 2004, 2595; H. M. L. Davies, R. E. J. Beckwith, Chem. Rev. 2003, 103, 2861.
- [18] P. M. P. Gois, C. A. M. Afonso, Eur. J. Org. Chem. 2004, 3773; P. Bulugahapitiya, Y. Landais, L. Parra-Rapado, D. Planchenault, V. Weber, J. Org. Chem. 1997, 62, 1630; D. J. Miller, C. J. Moody, Tetrahedron 1995, 51, 10811; M. P. Doyle, Adv. Chem. Ser. 1992, 443.
- [19] G. Mehta, S. Muthusamy, *Tetrahedron* **2002**, 58, 9477.
- [20] C. Chatgilialoglu, C. Ferreri, M. Guerra, V. Timokhin, G. Froudakis, T. Gimisis, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2002, 124, 10765.
- [21] T.-L. Su, J.-T. Huang, J. H. Burchenal, K. A. Watanabe, J. J. Fox, J. Med. Chem. 1986, 29, 709.
- [22] E. Pretsch, T. Clerc, J. Seibl, W. Simon, 'Tabellen zur Strukturaufklärung organischer Verbindungen mit spektroskopischen Daten', Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1990, p. H175.
- [23] G. L'abbé, F. Godts, S. Toppet, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1985, 589; D. Moderhack, K.-H. Goos, Chem. Ber./Recl. 1987, 120, 921; J. Wang, G. Burdzinski, T. L. Gustafson, M. S. Platz, J. Org. Chem. 2006, 71, 6221.

- [24] D. W. Adamson, J. Kenner, J. Chem. Soc. 1935, 286; C. D. Hurd, S. C. Lui, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1935, 57, 2656; J. Marx, L. Marx-Moll, Chem. Ber. 1954, 87, 1499; J. L. Brewbaker, H. Hart, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1969, 91, 711; R. J. Bailey, P. J. Card, H. Shechter, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1983, 105, 6096; K. Ito, J. Maruyama, J. Heterocycl. Chem. 1988, 25, 1681.
- [25] G. W. Kirby, W. Lösel, P. S. Rao, D. J. Robins, M. A. Sefton, R. R. Talekar, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1983, 810; R. G. S. Berlinck, R. Britton, E. Piers, L. Lim, M. Roberge, R. M. da Rocha, R. J. Andersen, J. Org. Chem. 1998, 63, 9850; P. H. Bernardo, C. L. L. Chai, J. Org. Chem. 2003, 68, 8906; M. Li, A. Wu, P. Zhou, Tetrahedron Lett. 2006, 47, 3707.
- [26] R. Behrend, Liebigs Ann. Chem. 1888, 245, 213; F. L. Rose, J. Chem. Soc. 1952, 3448; R. K. Robins, F. W. Furcht, A. D. Grauer, J. W. Jones, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1956, 78, 2418; V. Papesch, R. M. Dodson, J. Org. Chem. 1963, 28, 1329.
- [27] C. O. Kappe, G. Färber, J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1 1991, 1342; R. Saladino, L. Stasi, C. Crestini, R. Nicoletti, M. Botta, Tetrahedron 1997, 53, 7045; G. L'abbé, M.-A. Persoons, S. Toppet, Magn. Reson. Chem. 1987, 25, 362; P. Franchetti, L. Messini, L. Cappellacci, M. Grifantini, A. Lucacchini, C. Martini, G. Senatore, J. Med. Chem. 1994, 37, 2970.
- [28] L. Bauer, D. Dhawan, C. S. Mahajanshetti, J. Org. Chem. 1966, 31, 2491.
- [29] O. Temme, S.-A. Taj, P. G. Andersson, J. Org. Chem. 1998, 63, 6007.
- [30] A. J. Anciaux, A. J. Hubert, A. F. Noels, N. Petiniot, P. Teyssié, J. Org. Chem. 1980, 45, 695; M. P. Doyle, W. H. Tamblyn, W. E. Buhro, R. L. Dorow, Tetrahedron Lett. 1981, 22, 1783; M. P. Doyle, V. Bagheri, T. J. Wandless, N. K. Harn, D. A. Brinker, C. T. Eagle, K.-L. Loh, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1990, 112, 1906; A. Jeganathan, S. K. Richardson, R. S. Mani, B. E. Haley, D. S. Watt, J. Org. Chem. 1986, 51, 5362; A. de Meijere, T.-J. Schulz, R. R. Kostikov, F. Graupner, T. Murr, T. Bielfeldt, Synthesis 1991, 547; P. Müller, C. Baud, D. Ené, S. Motallebi, M. P. Doyle, B. D. Brandes, A. B. Dyatkin, M. M. See, Helv. Chim. Acta 1995, 78, 459.
- [31] E. Wenkert, M. Guo, R. Lavilla, B. Porter, K. Ramachandran, J.-H. Sheu, J. Org. Chem. 1990, 55, 6203.
- [32] S. A. Matlin, L. Chan, B. Catherwood, J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1 1990, 89.
- [33] J. A. Monn, M. J. Valli, S. M. Massey, M. M. Hansen, T. J. Kress, J. P. Wepsiec, A. R. Harkness, J. L. Grutsch, R. A. Wright, B. G. Johnson, S. L. Andis, A. Kingston, R. Tomlinson, R. Lewis, K. R. Griffey, J. P. Tizzano, D. D. Schoepp, J. Med. Chem. 1999, 42, 1027.
- [34] E. V. Blackburn, C. J. Timmons, Q. Rev. Chem. Soc. 1969, 23, 482; D. Bryce-Smith, A. Gilbert, MTP Int. Rev. Sci.: Org. Chem., Ser. 1 1973, 3, 105.
- [35] H. Laatsch, H. Beck, E. Egert, Liebigs Ann. Chem. 1992, 1125.
- [36] J.-R. Cui, Y.-J. Jian, Y.-K. Wu, S.-M. Wang, Chin. J. Chem. 2006, 24, 1163; C. Bruntner, T. Binder, W. Pathom-aree, M. Goodfellow, A. T. Bull, O. Potterat, C. Puder, S. Hörer, A. Schmid, W. Bolek, K. Wagner, G. Mihm, H.-P. Fiedler, J. Antibiot. 2005, 58, 346; G. B. Caygill, D. S. Larsen, S. Brooker, J. Org. Chem. 2001, 66, 7427; U. Flörke (University of Paderborn), private communication to Cambridge Database, 2000; T. Rozek, E. R. T. Tiekink, D. K. Taylor, J. H. Bowie, Aust. J. Chem. 1998, 51, 1057; A. M. Hassan, M. C. Cone, C. R. Melville, S. J. Gould, Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett. 1995, 5, 191.
- [37] J. Novák, J. Ratuský, V. Šneberk, F. Šorm, Collect. Czech. Chem. Commun. 1957, 22, 1836; L. Canonica, E. Fedeli, A. Castelnuovo, Gazz. Chim. Ital. 1957, 87, 998; B. Martín-Vaca, H. Rudler, M. Audouin, M. Nicolas, T. Durand-Réville, B. Vissière, J. Organomet. Chem. 1998, 567, 119; F. Löffler, M. Hagen, U. Lüning, Synlett 1999, 1826.
- [38] R. Paul, S. Tchelitcheff, C. R. Hebd. Seances Acad. Sci. 1957, 244, 2806.
- [39] T. Werle, L. Schäffler, G. Maas, J. Organomet. Chem. 2005, 690, 5562; G. Maas, T. Werle, M. Alt, D. Mayer, Tetrahedron 1993, 49, 881.
- [40] G. O. Schenck, R. Steinmetz, Liebigs Ann. Chem. 1963, 668, 19.
- [41] A. Caballero, M. M. Díaz-Requejo, S. Trofimenko, T. R. Belderrain, P. J. Pérez, J. Org. Chem. 2005, 70, 6101.
- [42] O. M. Nefedov, V. M. Shostakovskii, M. Y. Samoilova, M. I. Kravchenko, Bull. Acad. Sci. USSR, Div. Chem. Sci. 1972, 21, 2281; C. Böhm, M. Schinnerl, C. Bubert, M. Zabel, T. Labahn, E. Parisini, O. Reiser, Eur. J. Org. Chem. 2000, 2955.
- [43] F.-G. Klärner, D. Schröer, Chem. Ber. 1989, 122, 179; F.-G. Klärner, D. Schröer, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 1987, 26, 1294.
- [44] W. Steinkopf, H. Augestad-Jensen, Liebigs Ann. Chem. 1922, 428, 154.
- [45] C. D. Bedford, P. A. S. Smith, J. Org. Chem. 1983, 48, 4002; R. J. Gillespie, A. E. A. Porter, J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1 1979, 2624.
- [46] H.-U. Reissig, R. Zimmer, Chem. Rev. 2003, 103, 1151.
- [47] J. E. Baldwin, Chem. Rev. 2003, 103, 1197.
- [48] H. N. C. Wong, M.-Y. Hon, C.-W. Tse, Y.-C. Yip, J. Tanko, T. Hudlicky, Chem. Rev. 1989, 89, 165.
- [49] H. M. L. Davies, N. Kong, M. R. Churchill, J. Org. Chem. 1998, 63, 6586.
- [50] I. Reichelt, H.-U. Reissig, Chem. Ber. Recl. 1983, 116, 3895.
- [51] V. M. S. Gil, W. von Philipsborn, Magn. Reson. Chem. 1989, 27, 409.

Received March 18, 2008